

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,262	09/30/2003	Keitaro Imai	243436US2SX	2071	
22850	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPI	IVAK, MCCLELLAND	LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE			
1940 DUKE S	TREET A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLAANDIG	A, VA 22514		2812		
		DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		١,
Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>H</u> .
10/673,262	IMAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812	
ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION on the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reducted of the final rejection. Visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the same six MONTHS from the mailing date (1). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (1). The which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 and the corresponding amount of the feet tatutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final reject the same six of the final reject that the same six of the final reject the same six of the final reject that the same six of the same six of	FOR ALLOWANCE. of Appeal. To avoid al affidavit, or other evid n compliance with 37 oply must be filed within the final rejection, whichever of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILE (a) and the appropriate extension of final Office action; or (2) tion, even if timely filed, make the final Office action; or (2) tion, even if timely filed, make the filed within two more and the filed within two more appropriate extension of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions. The appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions. The appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions. The appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions. The appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions. The appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions. The appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions of the filed within two more appropriate extensions.	pandonment of ence, which CFR 41.31; or in one of the er is later. In no D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any on the appeal. (a). because g the issues for the insues for th
but before or on the date of filing a and sufficient reasons why the affic		
ng a Notice of Appeal, but prior to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ary and was not earlier presented. ion of the status of the claims afte	peal and/or appellant fo See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).

Advisory Action	10/673,262	IMAI ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverser, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	A second	£ (1)	h				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		/ i ⊏ below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
	appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 14-20.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance becaus See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. ☐ Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). has been con	sidered //				

Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. // Examiner Art Unit: 2812

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is the view of the examiner, that the current invention as claimed in claim 10 is met by the teachings of Ohnishi and Beach. Beach show the use of an alumina film in the process of making a conductive plug. Ohnishi shows the formation of a capacitor that, uses silicon dioxide as the insulating layer that has a conductive plug form therein. The examiner believes this combination is adequate, in rejecting Claim 10 for the reasons stated above, in that the alumina of Beach would replace the silicon dioxide of Ohnishi.